arXiv:1610.00826v1 [math.RT] 4 Oct 2016

THE SPHERICAL TRANSFORM OF A SCHWARTZ FUNCTION ON THE FREE TWO STEP NILPOTENT LIE GROUP

JINGZHE XU

ABSTRACT. Let $F(n)$ be a connected and simply connected free 2-step nilpotent lie group and K be a compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(F(n))$. We say that $(K, F(n))$ is a Gelfand pair when the set of integrable K-invariant functions on $F(n)$ forms an abelian algebra under convolution. In this paper, we consider the case when $K = O(n)$. In this case, the Gelfand space $(O(n), F(n)$ is equipped with the Godement-Plancherel measure, and the spherical transform $\wedge : L^2_{O(n)}(F(n)) \to L^2(\Delta(O(n), F(n)))$ is an isometry. I will prove the Gelfand space $\Delta(O(n), F(n))$ is equipped with the Godement-Plancherel measure and the inversion formula. Both of which have something related to its correspond Heisenberg group. The main result in this paper provides a complete characterization of the set $\varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))^\wedge = \{\widehat{f} \mid f \in \varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))\}$ of spherical transforms of $O(n)$-invariant Schwartz functions on $F(n)$. I show that a function F on $\Delta(O(n), F(n))$ belongs to $\varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))^\wedge$ if and only if the functions obtained from F via application of certain derivatives and difference operators satisfy decay conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

What can one say about the spherical transform of a $O(n)$-invariant Schwartz function on $F(n)$? More precisely, letting $\varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))$ denote the space of $O(n)$-invariant Schwartz functions on $F(n)$ we seek to characterize the subspace $\varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))^\wedge = \{\widehat{f} \mid f \in \varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))\}$ of $C_0(\Delta(O(n), F(n)))$, where $O(n)$-spherical transform $\widehat{f} \to C$ for a function $f \in L^1_{O(n)}(F(n))$ is defined by $\widehat{f}(\psi) = \int_{F(n)} f(x)\overline{\psi(x)}dx$. The main result in this paper in section 4 below, which provides a complete solution to this problem. Before describing the contents of this problem I wish to provide some background and motivation for the study of $\varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))$ via the spherical transform.

Schwartz functions have played an important role in harmonic analysis with nilpotent groups since the work of Kirilov [1]. Let N be connected and simply connected nilpotent lie group with lie algebra n. The exponential map: $n \to N$ is a polynomial diffeomorphism and one defines the (Frechet) space $\varphi(N)$ of Schwartz functions on N via identification with the usual

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E46, 22E47.

Key words and phrases. Gelfand pairs, $O(n)$-bounded spherical functions,the spherical transform of a $O(n)$-invariant Schwartz function on $F(n)$.

space $\varphi(n)$ of Schwartz function on the vector space n: $\varphi(N) := \{f : N \to C \mid f \circ exp \in \varphi(n)\}$. $\varphi(N)$ is dense in $L^p(N)$ for each p and carries an algebra structure given by the convolution product. Moreover, it is known that the primitive ideal space for $\varphi(N)$ is isomorphic to that of both $L^1(N)$ and $C^*(N)$[2]. The Heisenberg groups H_a are the simplest groups for which $\varphi(N)$ is non-abelian. Recall that the group Fourier transform for a function $f \in L^1(N)$ associates to $\pi \in \widehat{N}$, an irreducible unitary representation of N, the bounded operator $\pi(f) = \int_N f(x)\pi^*(x)dx$ in the representation space of π. This generates the usual Euclidean Fourier transform for the case $N = R^n$. The importance of Schwartz functions in Euclidean harmonic analysis arises from the fact that $\varphi(R^n)$ is preserved by the Fourier transform. It is thus very natural to seek a characterization of $\varphi(N)$ via the group Fourier transform; a problem solved by Roger.Howe in [3].

One can sometimes obtain subalgebras of $\varphi(N)$ by considering "radial" functions. This is of interest even when $N = R^n$. Indeed, the algebra $\varphi_{O(n)}(R^n)$ of radial Schwartz function on R^n can be identified with $\varphi(R^+)$ and the Fourier transform becomes a Hankel transform on $\varphi(R^+)$. This is the spherical transform for the Gelfand pair obtained from the action of the orthogonal group $O(n)$ on R^n[4].

Theorem 4.4 provides conditions that are both necessary and sufficient for a function F on $\Delta(O(n), F(n))$ to belong to the space $\varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))^\wedge$:

1 F is continuous on $\Delta(O(n), F(n))$.

2 The function F_0 on R defined by $F_0(r) = F(\phi^r)$ belongs to $\phi(R)$.

3 The map $\lambda \to F(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})$ is smooth on $R^\times$ and the functions $\partial_\lambda^m F(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})$ satisfy certain decay conditions. In particular, $\partial_\lambda^m F(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})$ is a rapidly decreasing sequence in α for each fixed $r \in R$ and $\lambda \in R^\times$.

4 Certain "derivatives" of F also satisfy the three conditions above. These are defined on $\Delta_1(O(n), F(n))$ as specific combinations of ∂_λ and "difference operators" which play the role of differentiation in the discrete parameter $\alpha \in \wedge$.

The precise formulation of these conditions can be found in Definition 3.2.1. The "derivatives" of functions in $\varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))^\wedge$ referred to above are operators corresponding to multiplication of functions in $\varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))$ by certain polynomials. The difference operators in the discrete parameter $\alpha \in \wedge$ are linear operators whose coefficients are "generalized binomial coefficients". These coefficients were introduced by Z.Yan in [5]. A summary of their properties is given below in Section 3.

I will prove the inversion formula for $(O(n), F(n))$ is of the form:

$f(x) = \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{2n+2}} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha \in \wedge} (dim P_\alpha) \widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) \phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}(x) \, |\lambda|^n \, d\lambda dr$, where $x = exp(X + A) \in F(n)$, c is a fixed constant, $\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}(x)$ is the "type 1" $O(n)$-bounded spherical functions. Also, I will show the Godement-Plancherel measure $d\mu$ on $\Delta(O(n), F(n))$ is given by:

$\int_{\Delta(O(n),F(n))} F(\psi)d\mu(\psi) = \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{2n+2}} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} (dimP_\alpha) F(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) \, |\lambda|^n \, d\lambda dr.$
Both of them are related to the corresponding formula of the Heisenberg group.

One consequence of the estimates involved in our characterization of $\varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))^\wedge$ is that $f \in \varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))$ can be recovered from $F = \widehat{f}$ via the inversion of the spherical transform.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

Let G be a connected Lie group, K a compact subgroup. Let π denote the natural mapping of G onto $X = G/K$ and as usual we put $o = \pi(e)$ and $\widetilde{f} = f \circ \pi$ if f is any function on X. Let $D(G)$ denote the set of all left invariant differential operators on G, $D_K(G)$ the subspace of those which are also right invariant under K and $D(G/K)$ the algebra of differential operators on G/K invariant under all the translations $\tau(g) : xK \to gxK$ of G/K.

Definition 2.1. Let ϕ be a complex-valued function on G/K of class C^∞ which satisfies $\phi(\pi(e)) = 1$;ϕ is called a spherical function if
(i)$\phi^{\tau(k)} = \phi$ for all $k \in K$,
(ii)$D\phi = \lambda_D \phi$ for each $D \in D(G/K)$,
where λ_D is a complex number.

It is sometimes convenient to consider the function $\widetilde{\phi} = \phi \circ \pi$ on G instead of ϕ. We say that $\widetilde{\phi}$ is a spherical function on G if and only if ϕ is a spherical function on G/K. Then a spherical function $\widetilde{\phi}$ on G is characterized by being an eigenfunction of each operator in $D_K(G)$ and in addition satisfying the relations $\widetilde{\phi}(e) = 1$,$\widetilde{\phi}(kgk^{'}) = \widetilde{\phi}(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and all $k, k^{'} \in K$. The last condition will be called bi-invariance under K.

Theorem 2.2. *Let f be a complex-valued continuous function on G, not identically 0. Then f is a spherical function if and only if*
$\int_K f(xky)dk = f(x)f(y)$ *for all* $x, y \in G$.

Next, we consider the Heisenberg groups. There are many ways to define Heisenberg group. I introduce two of them here. [6]The first one is as follows:

Let X be an arbitrary real vector space. Denote X^* the dual space of X. Let $\varphi(X)$ be the Schwartz space of X, that is, the space of smooth, rapidly decreasing functions on X.(Unless specified otherwise, functions are complex-valued.)Let $T \subseteq C$ be the unit circle. Write $e(t) = e^{2\pi it}$ for the usual exponential map from R to T. Define operators on $\varphi(X)$ as follows.
(a)$\rho(x^{'})f(x) = f(x - x^{'})$ for $x, x^{'} \in X$ and $f \in \varphi(X)$
(b)$\rho(\xi)f(x) = e(\xi(x))f(x)$ for $\xi \in X^*$, and x, f as in (a)
(c)$\rho(z)f(x) = zf(x)$ for $z \in T$,and x, f as in (a)
These operators fit together to form a nice group of operators. Precisely, put

$H = X \times X^* \times T$.

Define a law of composition on H by

$(x_1, \xi_1, z_1)(x_2, \xi_2, z_2) = (x_1 + x_2, \xi_1 + \xi_2, z_1 z_2 e(\xi_1(x_2)))$.

It is easily verified that the law in above makes H into a two-step nilpotent lie group with center T. We call H the Heisenberg group. A straightforward computation shows that

$\rho : (x, \xi, z) \to \rho(x)\rho(\xi)\rho(z)$

defines an isomorphism of H to a group of operators on $\varphi(X)$, or in other words, is a representation of H on $\varphi(X)$.

As in usual, we let $L^2(X)$ be the Hilbert space of functions on X which are square integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure. We know $\varphi(X)$ is a dense subspace of $L^2(X)$, and in particular inherits the inner product from $L^2(X)$. One checks easily that the operators $\rho(h)$ for $h \in H$ are isometries with respect to this inner product, so that in fact ρ is the restriction to $\varphi(X)$ of a unitary representation, also denoted ρ, of H on $L^2(X)$. As we will see, $\varphi(X)$ is intrinsically defined in terms if ρ.

For the second definition, we identity H_a with $C^a \times R$ with multiplication given by

$(z, t)(z^{'}, t^{'}) = (z + z^{'}, t + t^{'} + \frac{1}{2}\omega(z, z^{'}))$, where $\omega(z, z^{'}) := -Im < z, z^{'} >= -Im(z.\overline{z}^{'})$ for $z, z^{'} \in C^n$, and $t, t^{'} \in R$.

It will occasionally be more congenial to have a "coordinate free" model for H_a. In this cases, we assume that V is an a-dimensional vector space over C equipped with a Hermitian inner product $< ., . >$. H_a is then identified with $V \times R$, and the multiplication is given by

$(v, t)(v^{'}, t^{'}) = (v + v^{'}, t + t^{'} + \frac{1}{2}\omega(v, v^{'}))$ where $\omega(v, v^{'}) := -Im < v, v^{'} >$. We will write H_V for the Heisenberg group given by $(V, < ., . >)$.

The left-invariant vector fields generated by the one-parameter subgroups through $((0, \cdots, 0, 1 \pm i, 0 \cdots, 0), 0)$ are written explicitly as

$Z_j = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z_j}} + i\frac{Z_j}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $\overline{Z_j} = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_j} - i\frac{\overline{Z_j}}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. In addition, let $T := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, so that $\{Z_1, \cdots, Z_a, \overline{Z_1}, \cdots, \overline{Z_a}, T\}$ is a basis for the lie algebra n_a of H_a. With these notations one has $[Z_j, \overline{Z_j}] = -2iT$.

Consider a unimodular group G with $K \subseteq G$ a compact subgroup. We denote the L^1-functions that are invariant under both the left and right actions of K on G by $L^1(G//K)$. These form a subalgebra of the group algebra $L^1(G)$ with respect to the convolution product $f * g(x) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x)dy = \int_G f(xy^{-1})g(y)dy$.According to the traditional definition, one says that $K \subseteq G$ is a Gelfand pair if $L^1(G//K)$ is commutative.

Suppose now K is a compact group acting on N, where N is a connect and simply connected solvable lie group. By automorphism via some homomorphism $\phi : K \to Aut(N)$, one can form the semidirect product $K \propto N$, with group law

$(k_1, x_1)(k_2, x_2) = (k_1 k_2, x_1 k_1 . x_2)$, where we write $k.x$ for $\phi(k)(x)$. Right K-invariance of a function $f : K \propto N \to C$ means that $f(k, x)$ depends only on x. Accordingly, if one defines $f_N : N \to C$ by $f_N(x) = f(e, x)$,

then one obtains a bijection $L^1(K \propto N//K) \cong L^1_K(N)$ given by $f \to f_N$. Here $L^1_K(N)$ denotes the K-invariant functions on N, i.e. those $f \in L^1_K(N)$ such that $f(k.x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in N$ and $k \in K$. One verifies easily that this map respects the conclusion product and we see that $K \subseteq K \propto N$ is a Gelfand pair if and only if, the convolution algebra $L^1_K(N)$ is commutative. Thus, the definition given here agrees with the more standard one.

Note that if (K_1, N) is a Gelfand pair and $K_1 \subseteq K_2$, (K_2, N) is also a Gelfand pair. Also note that we can assume that K acts faithfully on N since we can always replace K by $K/ker\phi$. In this way, we can regard K as a compact subgroup of $Aut(N)$.

Lemma 2.3. *[7]Let K,L be compact groups acting on G which are conjugate inside Aut(G). Then (K, G) is a Gelfand pair if,and only if, (L, G) is a Gelfand pair.*

For the (2a+1)-dimensional Heisenberg group H_a, the natural action of the group of $a \times a$ unitary matrices on C^a(which we denote by $k.z$ for $k \in U(a)$ and $Z \in C^a$ gives rise to a compact subgroup of $Aut(H_a)$ via $k.(z,t) = (k.z, t)$. This subgroup, again denoted by U_a, is a maximal connected, compact subgroup of $Aut(H_a)$ and thus any connected, compact subgroup of $Aut(H_a)$ is the conjugate of a subgroup K of U_a. Since conjugates of K form Gelfand pairs with H_a if and only if, K does, and produce the same spherical functions, I will always assume that I am dealing with a compact subgroup of $U(a)$.

Theorem 2.4. *[7] Let N be a connected, simply connected nilpotent lie group. If N is an n-step group with $n \geq 3$ then there are no Gelfand pairs (K, N).*

Suppose the (2a+1)-dimensional Heisenberg group H_a has lie algebra h_a with basis $X_1, \cdots, X_a, Y_1, \cdots, Y_a, Z$ and structure equations given by $[X_i, Y_i] = Z$. We are call the representation theory of H_a. A generic set of coadjoint orbits in h_a^* is parametrized by nonzero $\lambda \in R$, where the orbit O_λ is the hyperplane in h_a^* of all functions taking the value λ at Z. The action of $U(a)$ on h_a^* preserves each O_λ. Hence, if $\pi(\lambda)$ is the element of $\widehat{H_a}$ corresponding to O_λ, the $U(a)$ also preserves the equivalence class of $\pi(\lambda)$. One can realize $\pi(\lambda)$ in the Fock space.

The Fock model, for real $\lambda > 0$, is defined on the space F_λ of holomorphic functions on C^a which are square integrable with respect to the measure $d\widetilde{\omega}_\lambda = (\frac{\lambda}{2\pi})^a e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\omega|^2} d\omega d\overline{\omega}$ [8]. The space $P(C^a)$ of holomorphic polynomials is dense in F_λ.

The representation π_λ of H_a of F_λ is given by

$\pi_\lambda(z,t)u(\omega) = e^{i\lambda t - \frac{\lambda}{2}<\omega,z> - \frac{\lambda}{4}|z|^2} u(\omega + z)$

For $\lambda < 0$, F_λ consists of antiholomorphic functions which are square with respect to $d\widetilde{\omega}_{|\lambda|}$, and the representation is given by

$\pi_\lambda(z,t)u(\overline{\omega}) = e^{i\lambda t + \frac{\lambda}{2}<\omega,z> + \frac{\lambda}{4}|z|^2} u(\overline{\omega + z})$

Since the irreducible unitary representations of H_a which are non-trivial on the center R, are determined up to equivalence by their centre character. For $k \in U(a)$, the representation $\pi_\lambda^k(z,t) = \pi_\lambda(k.z,t)$ has the same centre character as π_λ, and hence is equivalent to π_λ. For $\lambda > 0$, the operator that intertwines these two representations comes from the standard action of $U(a)$ on C^a. More precisely,

$[\pi_\lambda(k.z,t)u](k.\omega) = [\pi_\lambda(z,t)(k^{-1}.u)](\omega)$. where $k \times u(\omega) = u(k^{-1} \times \omega)$. One has a similar formula for $\lambda < 0$, except that the action of $U(a)$ on antiholomorphic functions is given by $k \times u(\overline{\omega}) = u(\overline{k \times \omega})$.

If we denote $W_\lambda(k)u(\omega) = u(k^{-1}.\omega)$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $W_\lambda(k)u(\overline{\omega}) = u(\overline{k.\omega})$ for $\lambda < 0$ respectively. We have $W_\lambda(k)\pi_\lambda(z,t)W_\lambda(k)^{-1} = \pi_\lambda(k.z,t)$. That is, $U(a)$ is the stablizer of the equivalence class of $\pi_\lambda \in \widehat{H_a}$ under the action of $U(a)$ and W_λ. I remark that up to a factor of $det(k)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, W_λ lifts to the oscillator representation on the double cover $MU(a)$ of $U(a)$ (cf[9]) Now I give another way to introduce the oscillator representation. It is as follows.

Theorem 2.5. *(Moore-Wolf). An irreducible representation σ of a nilpotent Lie group N is square-integrable(modulo the centre of N)if and only if it is the unique irreducible representation of N with its central character.*

Let α be an automorphism of H which acts trivially on T. By the uniqueness of ρ we know from general considerations that there is a unitary operator $\omega(\alpha)$, defined up to a scalar multiples, such that $\omega(\alpha)\rho(h)\omega(\alpha)^{-1} = \rho(\alpha(h))$.

Theorem 2.6. *(Shale-Weil). Let $\widetilde{Sp}$ be the 2-fold cover of Sp. Let $\widetilde{g} \to g$ be the projection map. Then there is a unitary representation ω of $\widetilde{Sp}$ on L^2 such that*

$\omega(\widetilde{g})\rho(h)\omega(\widetilde{g})^{-1} = \rho(g(h))$. I call ω the oscillator representation.

Given a compact, connected subgroup $K \subseteq U(n)$, we denote its complexification by K_C. The action of K on C^n yields a representation of K_C on C^n, and one can view K_C as a subgroup of $Gl(n,C)$.

A finite dimensional representation $\rho : G \to Gl(V)$ in a complex vector space V is said to be multiplicity free if each irreducible G-modules occurs at most once in the associated representation on the polynomial ring $C[V]$.(given by $(x.p)(z) = p(\rho(x^{-1})z)$).

Theorem 2.7. *Let K be a compact, connected subgroup of $U(a)$ acting irreducibly on C^a. The following are equivalent:(i)(K, H_a) is a Gelfand pair.(ii)The representation of K_C on C^a is multiplicity free. (iii)The representation of K_C on C_a is equivalent to one of the representations in a table[7].*

Theorem 2.8. *[7]$(SO(n), F(n))$ and $(O(n), F(n))$ are Gelfand pairs for all $n \geq 2$.*

Theorem 2.9. *[7]If K is a proper, closed(not necessarily connected) subgroup of $SO(n)$ then $(K, F(n))$ is not a Gelfand pair.*

A result due to Howe and Umeda (cf. [10]) shows that $\mathbb{C}[v_R]^K$ is freely generated as an algebra. So there are polynomials $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_d \in \mathbb{C}[v_R]^K$ so that $\mathbb{C}[v_R]^K = \mathbb{C}[\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_d]$.

We call $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_d$ the fundamental invariants and we can suppose $\gamma_1(z) = \gamma(z) = \frac{|z|^2}{2}$.

Invariant different operators. The algebra $\mathbb{D}(H_a)$ of left-invariant differential operators on H_a is generated by $\{Z_1, \cdots, Z_a, \overline{Z_1}, \cdots, \overline{Z_a}, T\}$. We denote the subalgebra of K-invariant differential operators by

$\mathbb{D}_K(H_a) := \{D \in \mathbb{D}(H_a) \mid D(f \circ k) = D(f) \circ k \ for \ k \in K, f \in C^\infty(H_a)\}$

From now on, we always suppose (K, H_a) is a Gelfand pair, and if this is true, $\mathbb{D}_K(H_a)$ is an abelian algebra.

One differential operator will play a key role in the Heisenberg group. This is the Heisenberg sub-Laplacian defined by

$U = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n (Z_j\overline{Z}_j + \overline{Z}_j Z_j)$. U is $U(a)$-invariant and hence belongs to $\mathbb{D}_K(H_a)$ for all Gelfand pairs (K, H_a). Note that U is essentially self-adjoint on $L^2(H_a)$.

The eigenvalues of the Heisenberg sub-Laplacian U on the type 1 K-spherical functions are given by

$U(\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}) = -|\lambda|(2|\alpha| + a)\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}$.

Define $\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}$ for $\alpha \in \wedge$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ by

$\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(z,t) = \phi_\alpha(\sqrt{|\lambda|}z, \lambda t)$,

so that $\phi_\alpha = \phi_{\alpha,1}$. The $\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}$'s are dinstinct bounded K-spherical functions. We refer to these elements of $\Delta(K, H_a)$ as the spherical function of type 1. One can show that ϕ_α has the general form

$\phi_\alpha(z,t) = e^{it}q_\alpha(z)e^{-\frac{|z|}{4}}$,

where q_α is a K-invariant polynomial on V_R with homogeneous component of highest degree given by $(-1)^{|\alpha|}p_\alpha/dim(P_\alpha)$.

In addition to the K-spherical functions of type 1, there are K-spherical functions which arise from the one-dimensional representations of H_a. For $\omega \in V$, let

$\eta_\omega(z,t) = \int_K e^{iRe<\omega,k.z>}dk = \int_K e^{iRe<z,k.\omega>}dk$

where "dk" denotes normalized Haar measure on K. The η_ω are the bounded K-spherical functions of type 2. Note that η_0 is the constant function 1 and $\eta_\omega = \eta_{\omega'}$ if and only if $K.\omega = K.\omega'$. It is shown in [16] that every bounded K-spherical function is of type 1 or type 2. Thus we have:

Theorem 2.10. *The bounded K-spherical functions on H_a are parametrized by the set $(\mathbb{R}^\times \times \wedge) \cup (V/K)$ via*

$\triangle(K, H_a) = \{\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times, \alpha \in \wedge\} \cup \{\eta_{K_\omega} \mid \omega \in V\}$

Note that, for $\psi \in \triangle(K, H_n)$, one has $\psi(z,t) = e^{i\lambda t}\psi(z,0)$,

where $\lambda = -i\widehat{T}(\psi) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Finally, we consider the two-step free nilpotent lie groups. First Definition. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the (unique up to isomorphism) free two-step nilpotent Lie

algebra with n generators. The definition using the universal property of the free nilpotent Lie algebra can be found in [11, Chapter V 5]. Roughly speaking, $\mathcal{N}$ is a (nilpotent)Lie algebra with n generators $X_1, \cdots X_n$, such that the vectors $X_1, \cdots X_n$ and $X_{i,j} = [X_i, X_j], i < j$ form a basis; we call this basis the canonical basis of $\mathcal{N}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$, the vectors spaces generated by the families of vectors $X_1, \cdots X_n$ and $X_{i,j} = [X_i, X_j], 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n$ respectively; these families become the canonical base of $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$. Thus $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{V} \bigoplus \mathcal{Z}$, and $\mathcal{Z}$ is the center of $\mathcal{N}$. With the canonical basis, the vector space $\mathcal{Z}$ can be identified with the vector space of antisymmetric $n \times n$-matrices $\mathcal{A}_n$. Let $z = dim Z = n(n-1)/2$.

The connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group which corresponds to $\mathcal{N}$ is called the free two-step nilpotent Lie group and is denoted F_n. We denote by exp:$\mathcal{N} \to F(n)$the exponential map.

In the following, we use the notations $X + A \in \mathcal{N}$,$exp(X + A) \in F(n)$ when $X \in \mathcal{V}, A \in \mathcal{Z}$. We write $n = 2p^{'} or 2p^{'} + 1$.

A Realization of $\mathcal{N}$. We now present here a realization of $\mathcal{N}$, which will be helpful to define more naturally the action of the orthogonal group and representations of $F(n)$.

Let $(\mathcal{V}, <, >)$ be an Euclidean space with dimension n. Let $O(\mathcal{V})$ be the group of orthogonal transformations of $\mathcal{V}$, and $SO(\mathcal{V})$ Its special subgroup. Their common Lie algebra denoted by $\mathcal{Z}$, is identified with the vector space of antisymmetric transformations of $\mathcal{V}$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{V} \bigoplus \mathcal{Z}$ be the exterior direct sum of the vector spaces $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$.

Let $[,] : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{Z}$ be the bilinear application given by:

$[X, Y].(V) =< X, V > Y - < Y, V > X$

$where X, Y, V \in \mathcal{V}$

We also denote by $[,]$ the bilinear application extended to $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ by:

$[.,.]_{\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{Z}} = [.,.]_{\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{N}} = 0$ This application is a Lie bracket. It endows $\mathcal{V}$ with the structure of a two-step nilpotent Lie algebra.

As the elements $[X, Y], X, Y \in \mathcal{V}$ generate the vector space $\mathcal{Z}$, we also define a scalar product $< >$ on $\mathcal{Z}$ by:

$< [X, Y], [X^{'}, Y^{'}] >=< X, X^{'} >< Y, Y^{'} > - < X, Y^{'} >< X^{'}, Y >$

where $X, Y, X^{'}, Y^{'} \in \mathcal{V}$.

It is easy to see $\mathcal{V}$ as a realization of $\mathcal{N}$ when an orthonormal basis $X_1, \cdots X_n$ of $(\mathcal{V}, <, >)$ is fixed.

We remark that $< [X, Y], [X^{'}, Y^{'}] >=< [X, Y]X^{'}, Y^{'} >$, and so we have for an antisymmetric transformation $A \in \mathcal{Z}$, and for $X, Y \in \mathcal{V}$:

$< A, [X, Y] >=< A.X, Y >$

This equality can also be proved directly using the canonical basis of $\mathcal{N}$.

Actions of Orthogonal Groups. We denote by $O(\mathcal{V})$ the group of orthogonal linear maps of $(\mathcal{V}, <, >)$, and by O_n the group of orthogonal $n \times n$-matrices.

On $\mathcal{N}$ and $F(n)$. The group $O(\mathcal{V})$ acts on the one hand by automorphism on $\mathcal{V}$, on the other hand by the adjoint representation $Ad_{\mathcal{Z}}$ on $\mathcal{Z}$. We obtain an action of $O(\mathcal{V})$ on $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{V} \bigoplus \mathcal{Z}$. Let us prove that this action respects the Lie bracket of $\mathcal{N}$. It suffices to show for $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{V}$ and $k \in O(\mathcal{V})$:

$$\begin{aligned} &[k.X, k.Y](V) =< k.X, V > k.Y - < k.Y, V > k.X \\ &k.(< X, k^t.V > Y - < Y, k^t.V > X \\ &= k.[X, Y](k^{-1}.V) = Ad_{\mathcal{Z}} k.[X, Y]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

We then obtain that the group $O(\mathcal{V})$ and also its special subgroup $SO(\mathcal{V})$. acts by automorphism on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}$, and finally on the Lie group $F(n)$.

Suppose an orthonormal basis $X_1, \cdots X_n$ of $(\mathcal{V}, <, >)$ is fixed; then the vectors $X_{i,j} = [X_i, X_j], 1 \leq i < j \leq n$, form an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{V}$ and we can identify:

the vector space $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{A}_n$.

the group $O(\mathcal{V})$ with O_n.

the adjoint representation $Ad_{\mathcal{Z}}$ with the conjugate action of O_n and $\mathcal{A}_n$: $k.A = kAk^{-1}$, where $k \in O_n, A \in \mathcal{A}_n$.

Thus the group $O_n \sim O(\mathcal{V})$ acts on $\mathcal{V} \sim \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{Z} \sim \mathcal{A}_n$, and consequently on $\mathcal{N}$. Those actions can be directly defined; and the equality $[k.X, k.Y] = k.[X, Y], k \in O_n, X, Y \in \mathcal{V}$, can then be computed.

On $\mathcal{A}_n$. Now we describe the orbits of the conjugate actions of O_n and SO_n on $\mathcal{A}_n$. An arbitrary antisymmetric matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}_n$ is O_n-conjugated to an antisymmetric matrix $D_2(\wedge)$ where $\wedge = (\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_{p'}) \in \mathbb{R}^{'}$ and:

$$D_2(\wedge) = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 J & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_{p'} J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (0) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $J := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

((0) means that a zero appears only in the case $n = 2p^{'} + 1$) Furthermore, we can assume that $\wedge$ is in $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$, where we denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the set of $\wedge = (\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_{p'}) \in \mathbb{R}^{'}$ such that $\delta_1 \geq \cdots \delta_{p'} \geq 0$.

Parameters. To each $\wedge \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}$, we associate:$p_0$ the number of $\delta_i \neq 0$, p_1 the number of distinct $\delta_i \neq 0$, and $\mu_1, \cdots \mu_{p^1}$ such that:

$\{\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \cdots > \mu_{p_1} > 0\} = \{\delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \cdots \geq \delta_{p_0} > 0\}$

We denote by m_j the number of δ_i such that $\delta_i = \mu_j$, and we put

$m_0 := m_0^{'} := 0$ and for $j = 1, \cdots p_1$ $m_j^{'} := m_1 + \cdots + m_j$.

For $j = 1, \cdots p_1$, let pr_j be the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{V}$ onto the space generated by the vectors X_{2i-1}, X_{2i}, for $i = m_{j-1}^{'} + 1, \cdots m_j^{'}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of $(r, \wedge)$ where $\wedge \in \mathcal{L}$, and $r \geq 0$, such that $r = 0$ if $2p_0 = n$.

Expression of the bounded spherical functions. The bounded spherical functions of $(K, F(n))$ for $K = O_n$, are parameterized by

$(r, \wedge) \in \mathcal{M}$ (with the previous notations p_0, p_1, μ_i, pr_j associated to $\wedge$),

$l \in \mathbb{N}^{p_1}$ if $\wedge \neq 0$, otherwise $\varnothing$.

Let $(r, \wedge)$,l be such parameters. Then we have the following two types of bounded $O(n)$-spherical functions:

For $n = exp(X + A) \in N$.

Type 1:$\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}(n) = \int_K e^{ir<X_p^*, k.X>} \omega_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1}(k.n)))dk$.

Type 2:$\phi^v(n) = \int_K e^{ir<X_p^*, k.X>} dk$. Here X_p^* is the unit K_ρ-fixed invariant vector. For a Gelfand pair $(H_{p_0}, K(m; p_1; p_0))$, we have $\omega_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is the "type 1" bounded $K(m; p_1; p_0)$-spherical functions for the Heisenberg group H_{p_0}. We will introduce it next. Ψ_2 is an isomorphism between H_{p_0} with a group with respect to $F(n)$, which will be introduced later.

We call H_{p_0} the Heisenberg group with respect to $F(n)$.

We use the following law of the Heisenberg group H_{p_0}:

$\forall h = (z_1, \ldots, z_{p_0}, t)$, $h^{'} = (z_1^{'}, \ldots, z_{p_0}^{'}, t^{'}) \in \mathbb{H}^{p_0} = \mathbb{C}^{p_0} \times \mathbb{R}$

$h.h^{'} = (z_1 + z_1^{'}, \ldots, z_{p_0} + z_{p_0}^{'}, t + t^{'} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{p_0} \mathfrak{F} z_i \overline{z}_i^{'})$

The unitary $p_0 \times p_0$ matrix group U_{p_0} acts by automorphisms on $\mathbb{H}^{p_0}$. Let us describe some subgroups of U_{p_0}. Let $p_0, p_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_{p_1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{p_1}$ be fixed such that $\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} m_j = p_0$. Let $K(m; p_1; p_0)$ be the subgroup of U_{p_0} given by:

$K(m; p_1; p_0) = U_{m_1} \times \ldots \times U_{m_{p_1}}$.

The expression of spherical functions of $(H_{p_0}, K(m; p_1; p_0))$ can be found in the same way as in the case $m = (p_0)$, $p_1 = 1$ i.e. $K = U_{p_0}$ (cf.[12]).

Stability group $K_\rho = \{k \in K : k.\rho = \rho\} = \{k \in K \subset G : k.f \in F(n).f\}$. The aim of this paragraph is to describe the stability group K_ρ of $\rho \in T_{rX_p}^* + D_2(\wedge)$.

Before this, let us recall that the orthogonal $2n \times 2n$ matrices which commutes with $D_2(1, \ldots, 1)$ have determinant one and form the group$Sp_n \bigcap O_{2n}$. This group is isomorphism to U_n; the isomorphism is denoted $\psi_1^{(n)}$, and satisfies:

$\forall k, X$: $\psi_c^{(n)}(k.X) = \psi_1^{(n)}(K)\psi_c^{(n)}(X)$,

where $\psi_c^{(n)}$ is the complexification :

$\psi_c^{(n)}(x_1, y_1; \ldots; x_n, y_n) = (x_1 + iy_1, \ldots, x_n + iy_n)$.

Now, we can describe K_ρ:

Proposition 2.12. *Let $(r, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{M}$. Let p_0 be the number of $\lambda_i \neq 0$, where $\wedge = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{p'})$, and p_1 the number of distinct $\lambda_i \neq 0$. We set $\widetilde{\wedge} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{p_0}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p_0}$. Let $\rho \in T_f$ where $f = rX_p^* + D_2(\wedge)$.*

If $\wedge = 0$, then K_ρ is the subgroup of K such that $k.rX_p^* = rX_p^*$ for all $k \in K_\rho$.

If $\wedge \neq 0$, then K_ρ is the direct product $K_1 \times K_2$, where:

$$K_1 = \{k_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{k_1} & 0 \\ 0 & Id \end{bmatrix} \mid \widetilde{k_1} \in SO(2p_0)\ D_2(\widetilde{\wedge})\widetilde{k_1} = \widetilde{k_1} D_2(\widetilde{\wedge})\}$$

$K_2 = \{k_2 = \begin{bmatrix} Id & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{k_1} \end{bmatrix} \mid \widetilde{k_2}.rX_p^* = rX_p^*\}$.

Furthermore, K_1 is isomorphism to the group $K(m; p_0; p_1)$.

Proof. We keep the notations of this proposition, and we set $A^* = D_2(\wedge)$ and $X^* = rX_p^*$. It is easy to prove:

$K_\rho = \{k \in K : kA^* = A^*k \text{ and } kX^* = X^*k\}$.

If $\wedge = 0$, since K_ρ is the stability group in K of $X^* \in \mathcal{V}^* \sim \mathbb{R}^n$. So the first part of Proposition 2.12 is proved.

Let us consider the second part. $\wedge \neq 0$ so we have

$$A^* = \begin{bmatrix} D_2(\widetilde{\wedge}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } D_2(\widetilde{\wedge}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 J_{m_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{p_1} J_{m_{p_1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $k \in K_\rho$. From above computation, the matrices k and A^* commute and we have:

$$k = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{k}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{k}_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } \widetilde{k}_1 \in O(2p_0) \text{ and } \widetilde{k}_2 \in O(n - 2p_0)$$

furthermore, $\widetilde{k}_2.X^* = X^*$, and the matrices $\widetilde{k}_1$ and $D_2(\widetilde{\wedge}^*)$ commute. So $\widetilde{k}_1$ is the diagonal block matrix, with block $[\widetilde{k}_1]_j \in O(m_j)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p_1$. Each block $[\widetilde{k}_1]_j \in O(m_j)$ commutes with J_{m_j}. So on one hand, we have $\det[\widetilde{k}_1]_j = 1$, $\det\widetilde{k}_1 = 1$, and one the other hand, $[\widetilde{k}_1]_j \in O(m_j)$ corresponds to a unitary matrix $\psi_1^{(m_j)}([\widetilde{k}_1]_j)$. Now we set for $k_1 \in K_1$:

$\Psi_1(k_1) = (\psi_1^{(m_j)}([\widetilde{k}_1]_1), \ldots, \psi_1^{(m_j)}([\widetilde{k}_1]_{p_1}))$

$\Psi_1 : K_1 \longrightarrow K(m; p_0; p_1)$ is a group isomorphism. □

Quotient group $\overline{F(n)} = F(n)/ker\rho$. In this paragraph, we describe the quotient groups $F(n)/ker\rho$ and $G/ker\rho$, for some $\rho \in \widehat{\overline{F(n)}}$. This will permit in the next paragraph to reduce the construction of the bounded spherical functions on $F(n)$ to known questions on Euclidean and Heisenberg groups. For a representation $\rho \in \widehat{\overline{F(n)}}$, we will denote by:

$ker\rho$ the kernel of ρ.

$\overline{F(n)} = F(n)/ker\rho$ its quotient group and $\overline{N}$ its lie algebra.

$(\mathcal{H}, \overline{\rho})$ the induced representation on $\overline{F(n)}$.

$\overline{n} \in \overline{F(n)}$ and $\overline{Y} \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ the image of $n \in F(n)$ and $Y \in \mathcal{N}$ respectively by the canonical projections $F(n) \to \overline{F(n)}$ and $\mathcal{N} \to \overline{\mathcal{N}}$

Now, with the help of the canonical basis, we choose

$E_1 = \mathbb{R}X_1 \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus \mathbb{R}X_{2p_0-1}$

as the maxiamal totally isotropic space for $\omega_{D_2(\wedge),r}$. The quotient lie algebra $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ has the natural basis: . You can refer to [12].

Here, we have denoted $|\wedge| = (\sum_{j=1}^{p'} \lambda_j^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |D_2(\wedge)|$ (for the Euclidean norm on $\mathcal{Z}$.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{N}_1}$ be the Lie sub-algebra of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$, with basis $\overline{X_1}, \cdots, \overline{X_{2p_0}}, \overline{B}$, and $\overline{N_1}$ be its corresponding connected simply connected nilpotent lie group. We define the mapping : $\Psi_2 : \mathbb{H}^{p_0} \to \overline{\mathcal{N}_1}$ for $h = (x_1 + iy_1, \cdots, x_{p_0} + iy_{p_0}, t) \in H_{p_0}$ by:

$\Psi_2(h) = exp(\sum_{j=1}^{p_0} \sqrt{\frac{|\wedge|}{\lambda_j}}(x_j \overline{X_{2j-1}} + y_j \overline{X_{2j}}) + t\overline{B})$

We compute that each lie bracket of two vectors of this basis equals zeros, except:

$[\overline{X_{2i-1}}, \overline{X_{2i}}] = \frac{\lambda_i}{|\wedge|}\overline{B},\ i = 1, \cdots, p_0.$

From this, it is easy to see:

Theorem 2.13. *Ψ_2 is a group isomorphism between $\overline{N_1}$ and H_{p_0}*

Finally, we note that

$\overline{q_1} : F(n) \to \overline{N_1}$ is the canonical projection.

Remark that the (Kohn) sub-Laplacian is $L := -\sum_{i=1}^{p} X_i^2$ (cf. section 6 in [12]). Then we can deduce that

$L.\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda} = (\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \delta_j(2\alpha_j + m_j) + r^2)\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}$.

3. SOME KNOWLEDGE FOR THE GENERALIZED BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

Suppose (K, H_a) is a Gelfand pair.

Decomposition of $C[V]$.

We decompose $C[V]$ into K-irreducible subspaces P_α,

$C[V] = \sum_{\alpha \in \wedge} P_\alpha$ where $\wedge$ is some countably infinite index set. Since the representation of K on $C[V]$ preserves the space $P_m(V)$ of homogeneous polynomials of degree m, each P_α is a subspace of some $P_m(V)$. We write $|\alpha|$ for the degree of homogeneity of the polynomials in P_α, so that $P_\alpha \subset P_{|\alpha|}(V)$. We will write d_α for the dimension of P_α and denote by $0 \in \wedge$ the index for the scalar polynomials $P_0 = P_0(V) = C$.

For $f : H_a \to C$ we define $f^\circ : V \to C$ by $f^\circ(z) := f(z, 0)$. We denote $\phi_\alpha^\circ(z) := \phi_{\alpha,1}(z, 0)$.

Theorem 3.1. *$\{\phi_\alpha^\circ \mid \alpha \in \wedge\}$ is a complete orthogonal system in $L_K^2(V)$ with $\|\phi_\alpha^\circ\|_2^2 = \frac{(2\pi)^a}{d_\alpha}$.*

For $\alpha, \beta \in \wedge$, we have a well defined number $\begin{bmatrix}\alpha \\ \beta\end{bmatrix}$.

We call the value generalized binomial coefficients for the action of K on V (cf.[24]). We have two important results, they are as follows:

$\sum_{|\beta|=|\alpha|-1} \begin{bmatrix}\alpha \\ \beta\end{bmatrix} = |\alpha|$

$\sum_{|\beta|=|\alpha|+1} \frac{d_\beta}{d_\alpha} \begin{bmatrix}\beta \\ \alpha\end{bmatrix} = |\alpha| + a.$

Definition 3.2. Given a function g on $\wedge$, D^+g and D^-g are the functions on $\wedge$ defined by

$D^+g(\alpha) = \sum_{|\beta|=|\alpha|+1} \frac{d_\beta}{d_\alpha} \begin{bmatrix}\beta \\ \alpha\end{bmatrix} g(\beta) - (|\alpha| + a)g(\alpha)$

$=\sum_{|\beta|=|\alpha|+1} \frac{d_\beta}{d_\alpha} \begin{bmatrix}\beta\\ \alpha\end{bmatrix} (g(\beta) - g(\alpha))$

$D^- g(\alpha) = |\alpha|\, g(\alpha) - \sum_{|\beta|=|\alpha|-1} \begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix} g(\beta)$

$=\sum_{|\beta|=|\alpha|-1} \begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix} (g(\alpha) - g(\beta))$

for $|\alpha| > 0$, and $D^- g(0) = 0$.

Also we can compute $\gamma\phi^\circ_\alpha = -(D^+ - D^-)\phi^\circ_\alpha$,
$\gamma\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} = -\frac{1}{|\lambda|}(D^+ - D^-)\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}$.
Thus we can compute

$$\partial_\lambda \phi_{\alpha,\lambda} = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{\lambda})D^-\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} - (\frac{\gamma}{2})\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} + it\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} \\ (\frac{1}{\lambda})D^+\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} + (\frac{\gamma}{2})\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} + it\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

for $\lambda > 0$.
and similarly

$$\partial_\lambda \phi_{\alpha,\lambda} = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{\lambda})D^-\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} + (\frac{\gamma}{2})\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} + it\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} \\ (\frac{1}{\lambda})D^+\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} - (\frac{\gamma}{2})\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} + it\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

for $\lambda < 0$.
Equivalently

$$(\frac{\gamma}{2} + it)\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} = \begin{cases} (\partial_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}D^+)\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}, & \text{for } \lambda\text{is greater than } 0 \\ (\partial_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}D^-)\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}, & \text{for } \lambda\text{is smaller than } 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$(\frac{\gamma}{2} - it)\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} = \begin{cases} -(\partial_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}D^-)\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}, & \text{for } \lambda\text{is greater than } 0 \\ -(\partial_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}D^+)\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}, & \text{for } \lambda\text{is smaller than } 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Definition 3.7. We say that a function $F : \wedge \to C$ is rapidly decreasing if for each $N \in Z^+$, there is a constant C_N for which
$|F(\alpha)| \leq \frac{C_N}{(2|\alpha|+a)^N}$.

Theorem 3.8. *[13] If $f \in \varphi_K(V)$ then $\widehat{f}$ is rapidly decreasing on $\wedge$. Conversely, if F is rapidly decreasing on $\wedge$ then $F = \widehat{f}$ for some $f \in \varphi_K(V)$. Moreover, the map*
$\wedge : \varphi_K(V) \to \{F \mid F \text{ is rapidly decreasing on } \wedge\}$ *is a bijection.*

Lemma 3.9 (13)**.** *Let F be a rapidly decreasing function on $\wedge$ and G be a bounded function on $\wedge$. Then*
$\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} d_\alpha F(\alpha)D^+G(\alpha) = -\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} d_\alpha (D^- + a)F(\alpha)G(\alpha)$,
$\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} d_\alpha F(\alpha)D^-G(\alpha) = -\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} d_\alpha (D^+ + a)F(\alpha)G(\alpha)$,

4. The proof of the third main theorem

In this section, we identity H_a wtih H_{p_0} and $\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}$ with $\omega_{\alpha,\lambda}$.

Definition 4.1. Let G be a function on $\Delta(O(n), F(n))$. We say that G is rapidly decreasing on $\Delta(O(n), F(n))$ if

1 G is continuous on $\Delta(O(n), F(n))$.

2 The function G_0 on R defined by $G_0(r) = G(\phi^r)$ belongs to $\phi(R)$.

3 The map $\lambda \to G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})$ is smooth on $R^\times = (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ for each fixed $\alpha \in \wedge$ and $r \in R$.

4 for each $m, N \geq 0$, there exists a constant $C_{m,N}$ for which $\left|\partial_\lambda^m G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\right| \leq \frac{C_{m,N}}{|\lambda|^m (\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \delta_j (2\alpha_j + m_j) + r^2)^N}$ for all $(r, \alpha, \lambda) \in R \times \wedge \times R^\times$.

We say that a continuous function on $\Delta_1(O(n), F(n))$ is rapidly decreasing if it extends to a rapidly decreasing function on $\Delta(O(n), F(n)) = \Delta_1(O(n), F(n)) \cup \Delta_2(O(n), F(n))$. Since $\Delta_1(O(n), F(n)$ is dense in $\Delta(O(n), F(n)$, such an extension is necessarily unique.

Note that if G is rapidly decreasing on $\Delta(O(n), F(n))$, then $\alpha \to G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})$ is rapidly decreasing on $\wedge$, in the sense of Definition 4.1 for each $\lambda \neq 0$. We see that F is bounded by letting $m = N = 0$ and one can show, moreover, that G vanishes at infinity by letting $m = 0$ and $N = 1$. We remark that the functions $\partial_\lambda^m G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})$ defined on $\Delta_1(O(n), F(n))$ need not extend continuously across $\Delta_2(O(n), F(n))$.

Definition 4.2. Let G be a function on $\Delta_1(O(n), F(n))$ which is smooth in λ. M^+G and M^-G are the functions on $\Delta_1(O(n), F(n))$ defined by

$$M^+G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) = \begin{cases} (\partial_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} D^+) G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}), & \text{for } \lambda \text{is greater than } 0 \\ (\partial_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} D^-) G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}), & \text{for } \lambda \text{is smaller than } 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$M^-G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) = \begin{cases} (\partial_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} D^-) G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}), & \text{for } \lambda \text{is greater than } 0 \\ (\partial_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} D^+) G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}), & \text{for } \lambda \text{is smaller than } 0 \end{cases}$$

We reminded the reader that the difference operators $D^\pm$ are defined by

$$D^+G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) = \sum_{|\beta|=|\alpha|+1} \frac{d_\beta}{d_\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} G(\phi^{r,\beta,\lambda}) - (|\alpha| + a) G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})$$

$$D^-G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) = |\alpha|\, G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) - \sum_{|\beta|=|\alpha|-1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} G(\phi^{r,\beta,\lambda}).$$

Definition 4.3. $\widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n))$ is the set of all functions $G : \Delta(O(n), F(n)) \to C$ for which $(M^+)^l (M^-)^m G$ is rapidly decreasing for all $l, m \geq 0$.

If G is rapidly decreasing on $\Delta(O(n), F(n))$ then $\lambda \to G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})$ is smooth on $R^\times$ and we have well defined functions $(M^+)^l (M^-)^m G$ on $\Delta_1(O(n), F(n))$. G belongs to $\widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n))$ if and only if these functions extend continuously to rapidly decreasing functions on $\Delta(O(n), F(n))$.

Note that $f(x) = \int_{\Delta(O(n),F(n))} \widehat{f}(\psi)\psi(x) d\mu(\psi)$ Here $x = exp(X + A)$.

Theorem 4.4. *(The Main Theorem) If $f \in \varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))$ then $\widehat{f} \in \widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n))$. Conversely, if $G \in \widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n))$, then $G = \widehat{f}$ for some $f \in \varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))$. Moreover, the map $\wedge : \varphi_{O(n)}(F(n)) \to \widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n))$ is a bijection.*

If $f \in \varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))$ and $\widehat{f} = 0$ then the inverse formula for the spherical transform yields that $f = 0$. Thus the spherical transform is injective on $\varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))$. To prove above theorem, it remains to show that $\varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))^{\wedge} \subset \widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n))$, and that $\widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n)) \subset \varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))^{\wedge}$.

Proof of $\varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))^{\wedge} \subset \widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n))$. Suppose that $f \in \varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))$ and let $G := \widehat{f}$. We begin by showing that G is rapidly decreasing. G is continuous on $\Delta(O(n), F(n))$, as is the spherical transform of any integrable $O(n)$-invariant function. Moreover, $G_0(r) = G(\phi^r) = \int_{F(n)} f(x)\overline{\phi^r(x)}dx = \int_{F(n)} f(x) \int_K e^{-ir<X_p^*, k.X>}dx = \int_{F(n)} f(x)e^{-ir<X_p^*, X>}dx$. Since f is a Schwartz function, so is $G_0(r)$. Thus G satisfies the first two conditions in Definition 3.2.1.

Next, I will show that G satisfies the estimates in Definition 4.1 for $m = 0$. Recall that the (Kohn) Sub-Laplacian L is a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(F(n))$ with

$L(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) = (\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \delta_j(2\alpha_j + m_j) + r^2)\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}$. (cf. [12])

WLOG, I denote $G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) = G(r, \alpha, \lambda)$.

Thus we have

$(\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \delta_j(2\alpha_j + m_j) + r^2)^N |G(r, \alpha, \lambda)|$

$= \left|(\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \delta_j(2\alpha_j + m_j) + r^2)^N < f, \phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda} >_2\right|$

$= \left|< f, L^N\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda} >_2\right| = \left|< L^N f, \phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda} >_2\right|$

$\leq \left\|L^N f\right\|_1$.

Since $\left|\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}(x)\right| \leq 1$ for all $x \in F(n)$. Letting $C_{0,N} := \left\|L^N f\right\|_1$, we see that the equality in Definition 4.1 hold for $m = 0$.

Since $\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(z, t)$ is smooth in $R^{\times}$ for fixed (z, t), $\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}(x)$ is smooth in $R^{\times}$ for fixed $x \in F(n)$. And $f\overline{\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}}$ is a Schwartz function, $G(r, \alpha, \lambda) = \widehat{f}(r, \alpha, \lambda)$ is smooth in $\lambda \in R^{\times}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\lambda G(r, \alpha, \lambda) &= \int_{F(n)} f(x)\partial_\lambda\overline{\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}}(x)dx \\ &= \int_{F(n)} f(x)e^{-ir<X_p^*, X>}\partial_\lambda\omega_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x^{-1})})dx \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Equation 3.3 and 3.4 provide formula for $\partial_\lambda\overline{\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}} = \partial_\lambda\phi_{\alpha,-\lambda}$ but I require a different approach here. Note that $\omega_{\alpha,\lambda}(z, t)$ is a special case for $\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(z, t)$. I write $\omega_{\alpha,\lambda}(z, t) = \omega_\alpha^0(z)e^{it}$ as $\omega_\alpha^0(z, \overline{z})e^{it}$ so that $\overline{\omega_{\alpha,\lambda}(z, t)} = \omega_\alpha^0(|\lambda| z, \overline{z})e^{-i\lambda t}$.

We see that

$\partial_\lambda\overline{\omega_{\alpha,\lambda}(z, t)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}[(\sum_{j=1}^n Z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_j})\omega_\alpha^0](|\lambda| z, \overline{z})e^{i|\lambda|t} - it\overline{\omega_{\alpha,\lambda}(z, t)}$.

Substituting this expansion onto equation 4.5 and integrating by parts gives

$\partial_\lambda G(r,\alpha,\lambda) = \int F(n) f(x) e^{-ir<X_p^*,X>} \frac{1}{\lambda}[(\sum_{j=1}^a Z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_j})\omega_\alpha^0](|\lambda|\, z,\overline{z}) e^{i|\lambda|t} dx$

$-\int_{F(n)} f(x) e^{-ir<X_p^*,X>} i(Pr_T(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x^{-1})}))\omega_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x^{-1})}) dx.$

$= \frac{1}{\lambda}(Df)^\wedge(r,\alpha,\lambda) - i(\widetilde{Pr_T(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x^{-1})})f)^\wedge}((r,\alpha,\lambda)$

where $Df = -\sum_{j=1}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_j} Z_j f$ such that after integration by parts, above equality holds.

Since $Pr_T(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x^{-1})})f$ and Df are both Schwartz functions on $F(n)$, they satisfy estimates above. Thus, given $N \geq 0$, one can find constants A and B with

$|\partial_\lambda G(r,\alpha,\lambda)| \leq \frac{A}{|\lambda|(\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \delta_j(2\alpha_j+m_j)+r^2)^N} + \frac{B}{(\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \delta_j(2\alpha_j+m_j)+r^2)^N}$

$\leq \frac{C_{1,N}}{|\lambda|(\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \delta_j(2\alpha_j+m_j)+r^2)^N}$

where $C_{1,N} = A + |\lambda| \times B$. By induction on m, we see that $|\partial_\lambda^m G(r,\alpha,\lambda)|$ satisfies an estimate as in Difinition 4.1. This completes the proof that F is rapidly decreasing. Equations 3.5 and 3.6 shows that

$M^+G = (\widetilde{(\frac{\gamma}{2} + itf)^\wedge} \mid \Delta_1(O(n),F(n))$

and $M^-G = -(\widetilde{(\frac{\gamma}{2} - itf)^\wedge} \mid \Delta_1(O(n),F(n))$.

where $(\widetilde{(\frac{\gamma}{2} + itf)^\wedge}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})$

$= \int F(n) f(x) e^{-ir<X_p^*,X>} (\frac{\gamma}{2}(Pr_V(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x^{-1})})) + iPr_T(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x^{-1})})))$

$\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x^{-1})}) dx.$

Similarly, $(\widetilde{(\frac{\gamma}{2} - itf)^\wedge}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})$

$= \int F(n) f(x) e^{-ir<X_p^*,X>} (\frac{\gamma}{2}(Pr_V(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x^{-1})})) - iPr_T(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x^{-1})})))$

$\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x^{-1})}) dx.$

Thus $(M^+)^l(M^-)^m G$ is the restriction of $\widehat{g}$ to $\Delta_1(O(n),F(n))$

where $g = (-1)^m(\widetilde{\frac{\gamma}{2} + it})^l(\widetilde{\frac{\gamma}{2} - it})^m f$.

Since $g \in \varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))$, it now follows that $(M^+)^l(M^-)^m G$ is rapidly decreasing. Thus $G \in \widehat{\varphi}(O(n),F(n))$ as desired. The following is required to complete the Main theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *(Inversion formula) If $f \in L^1_{O(n)}(F(n)) \cap \in L^2_{O(n)}(F(n))$ is continuous, one has the Inversion Formula:*

$f(x) = \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha \in \wedge} (dim P_\alpha) \widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) \phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}(x) \, |\lambda|^a \, dr d\lambda.$

$= \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_{O(n)} e^{ir<X_p^*,X>} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha \in \wedge} (dim P_\alpha) \widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) \phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)})) \, |\lambda|^a \, dk dr d\lambda.$

where c is a fixed constant. $x = exp(X + A) \in F(n)$.

Proof. Note that $< \phi_\alpha^\circ, \phi_\beta^\circ >_2 = \frac{(2\pi)^a}{d_\alpha} \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$.

$\int_{R^\times} \int_{H_a} \phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(z,t) \overline{\phi_{\alpha',\lambda'}(z,t)} dz dt \, |\lambda|^a \, d\lambda$

$= \int_V \phi_\alpha^\circ(\sqrt{|\lambda|}\, z) \overline{\phi_\alpha^\circ(\sqrt{|\lambda'|}\, z)} dz \int_R e^{i(\lambda - \lambda')t} dt \int_{R^\times} |\lambda|^a \, d\lambda$

$= \int_V \phi_\alpha^\circ(z) \overline{\phi_\alpha^\circ(z)} dz \times 2\pi$

$=< \phi^{\circ}_{\alpha}, \phi^{\circ}_{\alpha'} >_2 \times 2\pi$
$=\frac{(2\pi)^{a+1}}{d_\alpha}\delta_{\alpha,\alpha'}$
Suppose
$g(x) = \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_{O(n)} e^{ir<X_p^*,X>} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)}))\,|\lambda|^a\,dkdrd\lambda,$
then we can deduce
$\widehat{g}(\phi^{r',\alpha',\lambda'}) = \int_{F(n)} g(x)e^{-ir'<X_p^*,X>}\overline{\phi_{\alpha',\lambda'}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x)}))}dx$
$=\int_{F(n)}\int_{O(n)}\int_R\int_{R^\times} e^{ir<X_p^*,X>-ir'<X_p^*,X>}\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})$
$\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)}))\overline{\phi_{\alpha',\lambda'}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x)}))}\,|\lambda|^a\,dndkd\lambda dr$
Note that
$\int_{R^\times}\int_{F(n)}\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)}))\overline{\phi_{\alpha',\lambda'}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x)}))}dx\,|\lambda|^a\,d\lambda =$
$\begin{cases}\frac{1}{c}\int_{R^\times}\int_{H_a}\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(z,t)\overline{\phi_{\alpha',\lambda'}(z,t)}dzdt\,|\lambda|^a\,d\lambda, & \text{When} k \text{ is e}\\ 0, & \text{when} k \text{ is not equal to e}\end{cases}.$
$=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{c}\frac{(2\pi)^{a+1}}{d_\alpha}\delta_{\alpha,\alpha'}\delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}, & \text{When} k \text{ is e}\\ 0 & \text{When } k \text{ is not equal to e}\end{cases}$
Therefore
$\widehat{g}(\phi^{r',\alpha',\lambda'}) = \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}}\int_R e^{i(r-r')<X_p^*,X>}dr\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda'})\frac{(2\pi)^{a+1}}{c}\frac{1}{d_\alpha}\delta_{\alpha,\alpha'}$
$=\frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}}\int_R(2\pi)(dimP_{\alpha'})\widehat{f}(\phi^{r',\alpha'\lambda'})\frac{(2\pi)^{a+1}}{c}\frac{1}{d_{\alpha'}}\delta_{\alpha,\alpha'}$
$=\widehat{f}(\phi^{r',\alpha'\lambda'}).$
Therefore
$g(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in F(n)$. □

Lemma 4.7. *There exists constants M_1, M_2 such that*
$\frac{M_1}{2|\alpha|+a} \le \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{p_1}\delta_j(2\alpha_j+m_j)+r^2} \le \frac{M_2}{2|\alpha|+a}$

Proof. Let $\delta = min_{1\le j\le p_1}\delta_j$. On one hand, we have
$(\sum_{j=1}^{p_1}\delta_j(2\alpha_j+m_j)+r^2) \ge (2\delta\sum_{j=1}^{p_1}\alpha_j + \delta\sum_{j=1}^{p_1}m_j + r^2)$
$\ge (2\delta\,|\alpha| + \delta\sum_{j=1}^{p_1}m_j + r^2) = \frac{1}{M_2}(2\delta\,|\alpha| + a)$
where $M_2 = a + \delta\sum_{j=1}^{p_1}m_j + r^2$.
One the other hand, let $\delta' = max_{1\le j\le p_1}\delta_j$
$\sum_{j=1}^{p_1}\delta_j(2\alpha_j+m_j)+r^2 \le (2\delta'\sum_{j=1}^{p_1}\alpha_j + \delta'\sum_{j=1}^{p_1}m_j + r^2)$
$\le (2\sqrt{p_1}\delta'\,|\alpha| + \delta'\sum_{j=1}^{p_1}m_j + r^2)$
$\le (\sqrt{p_1}\delta' + \delta'\sum_{j=1}^{p_1}m_j + r^2)(2\delta\,|\alpha| + a)$
Therefore, we can take $M_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_1}\delta'+\delta'\sum_{j=1}^{p_1}m_j+r^2}$ and the inequality holds.
□

Theorem 4.8. *The Godement-Plamcherel measure $d\mu$ on $\Delta(O(n),F(n))$ is given by*
$\int_{\Delta(O(n),F(n))} G(\psi)d\mu(\psi) = \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}}\int_R\int_{R^\times}\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\,|\lambda|^a\,drd\lambda.$

Proof. Since existence and uniqueness of the Godement-Plancherel measure is guaranteed, we need only verify that the equation

$\int_{F(n)} |f(x)|^2\, dx = \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_{\Delta(O(n),F(n))} \left|\widehat{f}(\psi)\right|^2 d\mu(\psi)$.
for all continuous functions $f \in L^1_{O(n)}(F(n)) \cap L^2_{O(n)}(F(n))$.
Since $f(x) = \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} (dim P_\alpha) \widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) \phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}(x)\, |\lambda|^a\, dr d\lambda$.
$\int_{F(n)} |f(x)|^2\, dx = \int_{F(n)} (\frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}})^2 \int_R \int_{R^\times} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} (dim P_\alpha) \widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) \phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}(x)\, |\lambda|^a$
$\sum_{\alpha'\in\wedge} (dim P_{\alpha'}) \overline{\widehat{f}(\phi^{r',\alpha',\lambda'}) \phi^{r',\alpha',\lambda'}(x)} \left|\lambda'\right|^a dr d\lambda dr' d\lambda' dx$.
Note that $\int_R \int_{R^\times} \int_{F(n)} \phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}(z,t) \overline{\phi^{r',\alpha',\lambda'}(z,t)}\, |\lambda|^a\, dr d\lambda dx$
$= \int_R \int_{R^\times} \int_{F(n)} \int_{O(n)} \int_{O(n)} e^{ir<X_p^*, k.X>} \phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)})) e^{ir'<X_p^*, k_1 X>}$
$\overline{\phi_{\alpha',\lambda'}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k_1 x)}))}\, |\lambda|^a\, dk dk_1 dr d\lambda dx$
$= \int_R \int_{R^\times} \int_{F(n)} e^{i(r-r')<X_p^*, X>} \phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x)})) \overline{\phi_{\alpha',\lambda'}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x)}))}\, |\lambda|^a\, dr d\lambda dx$
$= \int_{R^\times} \int_{F(n)} \phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x)})) \overline{\phi_{\alpha',\lambda'}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x)}))}\, |\lambda|^a\, d\lambda dx \int_R e^{i(r-r')<X_p^*, X>} dr$
$= (2\pi) \delta_{r,r'} \int_{R^\times} \int_{F(n)} \phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x)})) \overline{\phi_{\alpha',\lambda'}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(x)}))}\, |\lambda|^a\, d\lambda dx$
$= (2\pi) \delta_{r,r'} \frac{1}{c} \frac{(2\pi)^{a+1}}{d_\alpha} \delta_{\alpha,\alpha'} \delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}$
Therefore,
$\int_{F(n)} |f(x)|^2\, dx = (\frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}})^2 \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} (dim P_\alpha) \widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) \overline{\widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})} \frac{(2\pi)^{a+2}}{(c)}\, |\lambda|^a\, dr d\lambda$.
$= \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} (dim P_\alpha) \left|\widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\right|^2 |\lambda|^a\, dr d\lambda$.
Therefore, the theorem holds.

□

Theorem 4.9. *Let G be a bounded measurable function on $(\Delta O(n), F(n))$ correspondence to H_a with $|G(r,\alpha,\lambda)| \le \frac{c_0}{(\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \delta_j (2\alpha_j + m_j) + r^2)^N}$*

for some $N \ge a+3$ and some constant c_0. Then
1.$G \in L^p(O(n), F(n))$ for all $p \ge 1$, and
2.$G = \widehat{f}$ for some bounded continuous function $f \in L^2_{O(n)}(F(n))$.

Suppose, for example, that f is a continuous function in $L^1_{O(n)}(F(n))$ with $G = \widehat{f}$ rapidly decreasing. Theorem 4.9 shows that f is square integrable and that G is integrable. Thus the inversion formula applies and we can cover f from G via

$f(x) = \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_{O(n)} e^{ir<X_p^*, X>} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} (dim P_\alpha) \widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) \phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)}))\, |\lambda|^a\, dk dr d\lambda$.

In particular, we see that this formula certainly holds for any function $f \in \varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))$.

Proof. To establish the first assertion, it suffices to prove that $G \in L^1(\delta(O(n), F(n)))$. Indeed, $|G(r,\alpha,\lambda)|^p$ satisfies an inequality as in the statement of the theorem with N replace by pN. Fixed a number $K > 0$, let
$A_1 = \{\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda} \mid 0 \le r \le K, |\lambda| (2|\alpha| + a) \le 1\}$,
$A_2 = \{\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda} \mid 0 \le r \le K, |\lambda| (2|\alpha| + a) > 1\}$,
$A_3 = \{\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda} \mid r > K, |\lambda| (2|\alpha| + a) \le 1\}$ and
$A_4 = \{\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda} \mid r > K, |\lambda| (2|\alpha| + a) > 1\}$,

Let M be a constant for which $|F(\psi)| \leq M$ for all $\psi \in \Delta(O(n), F(n))$ and let

$d_m = dim(P_m(V)) = \binom{m+n-1}{m}$

Note that $\sum_{|\alpha|=m} d_\alpha = d_m \leq (m+n-1)^{n-1}$.

$\int_{A_1} G(\psi)d\mu(\psi) = \frac{2c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_0^{O(n)} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha) \int_{0<|\lambda|<\frac{1}{2|\alpha|+a}} |F(r,\alpha,\lambda)|\,|\lambda|^a\, drd\lambda.$

$\leq \frac{4cMK}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m \int_0^{\frac{1}{2m+a}} \lambda^a d\lambda$

$= \frac{4cMK}{(2\pi)^{a+2}(a+1)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m (\frac{1}{2m+a})^{a+1}.$

Since $d_m = O(m^{a-1})$, we see that the last series converges. Hence $|G|$ is integrable over A_1. Next we compute

$\int_{A_2} G(\psi)d\mu(\psi) = \frac{4c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_0^{O(n)} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha) \int_{|\lambda|>\frac{1}{2|\alpha|+a}} |G(r,\alpha,\lambda)|\,|\lambda|^a\, drd\lambda.$

$\leq \frac{4cKc_0}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m \int_{\frac{1}{2m+a}}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^a}{(\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \delta_j(2\alpha_j+m_j)+r^2)^N} d\lambda$

$\leq \frac{4cKc_0M_2^N}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m \int_{\frac{1}{2m+a}}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^a}{(2|\alpha|+a)^N} d\lambda$

$= \frac{4cKC_0M_2^N}{(2\pi)^{a+2}(N-a-1)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m (\frac{1}{2m+a})^{a+1}$

The hypothesis that $N - n \geq 2$ was used above to evaluate the integral of $\frac{1}{\lambda^{N-a}}$ over $\frac{1}{2m+a} < \lambda < \infty$. Since $d_m = O(m^{n-1})$, we see that the series in the expansion converges. Hence $|F|$ is integrable over A_2. Similarly,

$\int_{A_3} G(\psi)d\mu(\psi) = \frac{2c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_{O(n)}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha) \int_{0<|\lambda|<\frac{1}{2|\alpha|+a}} |G(r,\alpha,\lambda)|\,|\lambda|^a\, drd\lambda.$

$\leq \frac{2cc_0}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_K^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2N}} dr \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m \int_0^{\frac{1}{2m+a}} \lambda^a d\lambda$

$\leq \frac{4cc_0}{(2N-1)(2\pi)^{a+2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m \int_0 \frac{1}{2m+a} \lambda^a d\lambda$

$= \frac{2cc_0}{(2N-1)(2\pi)^{a+2}(a+1)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m (\frac{1}{2m+a})^{a+1}.$

Since $d_m = O(m^{a-1})$, we see that the last series converges. Hence $|F|$ is integrable over A_3. Finally we compute

$\int_{A_4} G(\psi)d\mu(\psi) = \frac{4c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_{O(n)}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha) \int_{|\lambda|>\frac{1}{2|\alpha|+a}} |G(r,\alpha,\lambda)|\,|\lambda|^a\, drd\lambda.$

$\leq \frac{8cc_0M_2^{N-1}}{\int(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_{O(n)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m \int_{\frac{1}{2m+a}}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^a}{\lambda^{N-1}(2m+n)^{N-1}} drd\lambda.$

$\leq \frac{8cc_0M_2^{N-1}}{\int(2\pi)^{a+2}(N-a-2)K} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m (\frac{1}{2m+a})^{a+1}.$

The hypothesis $N - a \geq 3$ was used to evaluate the integral of $\frac{1}{\lambda^{N-1-a}}$ over $\frac{1}{2m+n} < \lambda < \infty$. Since $d_m = O(m^{n-1})$, we see that the series in the last expression converges. Hence $|F|$ is integrable over A_4. As $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4 = (\Delta_1(O(n), F(n)))$ is a set of full measure in $(\Delta(O(n), F(n)))$, it follows that $F \in L^1(\Delta(O(n), F(n)))$.

Next let f be the function on $F(n)$ defined by

$f(x) = \int_{\Delta(O(n),F(n))} G(\psi)\psi(x)d\mu(\psi)$. Since $G \in L^1(\Delta(O(n), F(n)))$ and the bounded spherical functions are continuous and bounded by 1, we see that f is well defined, continuous and bounded by $\|G\|_{L^1(\Delta(O(n),F(n)))}$. Moreover, since $G \in L^2(\Delta(O(n), F(n)))$ and $\wedge : L^2(\Delta(O(n), F(n))) \to L^2_{O(n)}(F(n))$

is an isometry, we have that $f \in L^2_{O(n)}(F(n))$ with $\|f\|_2^2 = \int_{\Delta(O(n),F(n))} |G(\psi)|^2 \, d\mu(\psi)$ and $\widehat{f} = G$. This establish the second assertion in this Theorem. $\square$

Remark. One can show that the set A_1 used in the proof of the above theorem is compact in $\Delta(O(n), F(n))$. Thus any bounded measurable function is integrable over A_1. This observation motivates the decomposition used in the proof.

Proof that $\widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n)) \subseteq \varphi_{O(n)}(F(n))^{\wedge}$. Suppose now that $G \in \widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n))$. Above theorem shows that $G = \widehat{f}$ where

$f(x) = \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha \in \wedge} (dim P_\alpha) \widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) \phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}(x) \, |\lambda|^a \, dr d\lambda.$

$= \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_{O(n)} e^{ir<X_p^*, X>} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha \in \wedge} (dim P_\alpha) \widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}) \phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)})) \, |\lambda|^a \, dk dr d\lambda.$

is $O(n)$-invariant, continuous, bounded and square integrable. To show that $f \in \widetilde{\varphi^{F(n)}_{O(n)}}$, I will show that f is smooth and that

$(\widetilde{\frac{\gamma^2}{4} + t^2})^a (\widetilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}})^b \widetilde{\Delta}^c f \in L^2_{O(n)}(F(n))$

where $\widetilde{\frac{\gamma^2}{4} + t^2}, \widetilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}, \widetilde{\Delta}$ will be defined below.

for all $a, b, c \geq 0$. This will follows from the facts

1.$\widetilde{\Delta}^c f \in L^2_{O(n)}(F(n))$ with $(\widetilde{\Delta} f)^{\wedge} \in \widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n))$.

2.$\widetilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} f \in L^2_{O(n)}(F(n))$ with $(\widetilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} f)^{\wedge} \in \widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n))$.

3.$(\widetilde{\frac{\gamma}{2} \pm itf}) \in L^2_{O(n)}(F(n))$ with $(\widetilde{\frac{\gamma}{2} \pm itf})^{\wedge} \in \widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n))$.

which I will prove below. Here $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_j}}$ is in formal.

Using equation $\widehat{U}(\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}) = -|\lambda| (2|\alpha| + a)$ for the eigenvalues of the Heisenberg sub-Laplacian, one obtains

$-|\lambda| (2|\alpha| + a) \phi_{\alpha,\lambda} = U \phi_{\alpha,\lambda} = [4\Delta - \frac{\gamma}{2} (\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^2] \phi_{\alpha,\lambda}$

$= 4\Delta \phi_{\alpha,\lambda} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \gamma \phi_{\alpha,\lambda}$

Since $\gamma \phi_{\alpha,\lambda} = -\frac{1}{|\lambda|} (D^+ - D^-) \phi_{\alpha,\lambda}$. Therefore,

$4\Delta \phi_{\alpha,\lambda} = -\frac{|\lambda|}{2} (D^+ - D^-) \phi_{\alpha,\lambda} - |\lambda| (2|\alpha| + a) \phi_{\alpha,\lambda}$

$= -\frac{|\lambda|}{2} [\sum_{|\beta| = |\alpha|+1} \frac{d_\beta}{d_\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} \phi_{\beta,\lambda} + (2|\alpha| + a) \phi_{\alpha,\lambda}$

$+ \sum_{|\beta| = |\alpha|-1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \phi_{\beta,\lambda}]$

Define a function G_Δ on $\Delta_1(O(n), F(n))$ by

$G_\Delta(r, \alpha, \lambda) = -\frac{|\lambda|}{2} (D^+ - D^-) G(r, \alpha, \lambda) - |\lambda| \times (2|\alpha| + a) G(r, \alpha, \lambda)$

$= -\frac{|\lambda|}{2} [\sum_{|\beta| = |\alpha|+1} \frac{d_\beta}{d_\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} G(r, \beta, \lambda) + (2|\alpha| + a) F(r, \alpha, \lambda)$

$+ \sum_{|\beta| = |\alpha|-1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} G(r, \beta, \lambda)]$

It is not hard to show that $G_\Delta \in \widehat{\varphi}(O(n), F(n))$.

In particular, note the equations

$\sum_{|\beta|=|\alpha|-1} \begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix} = |\alpha|$

$\sum_{|\beta|=|\alpha|+1} \frac{d_\beta}{d_\alpha} \begin{bmatrix}\beta\\ \alpha\end{bmatrix} = |\alpha| + a.$ and

$\frac{M_1}{2|\alpha|+a} \leq \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \delta_j(2\alpha_j+m_j)+r^2} \leq \frac{M_2}{2|\alpha|+a}$ for some fixed $M_1 > 0$ and $M_2 > 0$ give

$|G_\Delta(r,\alpha,\lambda)|$

$\leq \frac{|\lambda|}{2}[(|\alpha|+a)\sum_{|\beta|=|\alpha|+1}|G(r,\beta,\lambda)| + (2|\alpha|+a)|G(r,\alpha,\lambda)|$

$+ |\alpha|\sum_{|\beta|=|\alpha|-1}|G(r,\beta,\lambda)|]$

One uses this to show that G_Δ satisfies estimates as in Definition 4.1. Moreover, Lemma 3.9 shows that for each $\lambda \neq 0$,

$\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} d_\alpha G(r,\alpha,\lambda)(D^+ - D^-)\phi_{\alpha,\lambda} =$

$\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} d_\alpha (D^+ - D^-)G(r,\alpha,\lambda)\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}.$

and hence also

$\frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_{O(n)} e^{ir<X_p^*,X>} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha) G_\Delta(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)}))\,|\lambda|^a\, dkdrd\lambda$

$= \frac{4c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_{O(n)} e^{ir<X_p^*,X>} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha) G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\Delta\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)}))\,|\lambda|^a\, dkdrd\lambda$

$= 4\widetilde{\Delta} f.$

We conclude $\widetilde{\Delta} f \in L^2_{O(n)}(F(n))$ with $4(\Delta f)^\wedge = G_\Delta \in \widehat{\varphi}(O(n),F(n))$. This proves item 1 above.

Next note that the function defined on $\Delta_1(O(n),F(n))$ by $\lambda G(r,\alpha,\lambda)$ belongs to $\widehat{\varphi}(O(n),F(n))$. Since $\frac{\partial\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}}{\partial t} = i\lambda\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}$, we see that

$\widetilde{\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}}(X) = \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_{O(n)} e^{ir<X_p^*,X>} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\widehat{f}(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)}))\,|\lambda|^a\, dkdrd\lambda$

$= i\lambda f(x)$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}} \in L^2_{O(n)}(F(n))$ with $(\widetilde{\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}})^\wedge = i\lambda G \in \widehat{\varphi}(O(n),F(n))$. This establish 2 above.

We begin the proof of item 3 by setting

$\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k) = \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Pr_V\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)}))\,|\lambda|^a$ for each $\lambda \neq 0$, so that

$f(x) = \frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}} \int_{O(n)} e^{ir<X_p^*,X>} \int_R \int_{R^\times} \widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k) e^{irPr_V\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)})} dkdrd\lambda.$

We denote $Pr_V\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)}) = Z_{k.n} \in V$.

Note that we can compute $\partial_\lambda\widetilde{G}$ by taking derivatives term-wise in above equation. For $\lambda > 0$, we have

$\partial_\lambda\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k) = \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)d_\alpha a\lambda^{a-1}G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n})$

$+ \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)d_\alpha\lambda^a\partial_\lambda G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n})$

$+ \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)d_\alpha\lambda^a G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\partial_\lambda\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n})$

Since $G \in \widehat{\varphi}(O(n),F(n))$, the estimates in Definition 4.1 can be applied to show that the first two sum converges absolutely for $\lambda > 0$. For the third sum, I use Equation 3.3 for $\partial_\lambda\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z) = \partial_\lambda\phi_{\alpha,\lambda}(z,0)$. together with the lemma 3.9 to derive two identities.

$\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n})\lambda^a$

$=\begin{cases} -\frac{\gamma(Z_{k.n})}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\lambda^a G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n}) + \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\lambda^a G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\frac{1}{\lambda}D^-\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n}) \\ \frac{\gamma(Z_{k.n})}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\lambda^a G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n}) + \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\lambda^a G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\frac{1}{\lambda}D^+\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n}) \end{cases}$

$=\begin{cases} -\frac{\gamma(Z_{k.n})}{2}\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k) - \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\lambda^{a-1}(D^+ + a)G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n}) \\ \frac{\gamma(Z_{k.n})}{2}\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k) - \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\lambda^{a-1}(D^- + a)G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n}) \end{cases}$

Substituting these identities in the expansion for

(4.10)

$$\partial_\lambda\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\gamma(Z_{k.n})}{2}\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k) - \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\lambda^a(\partial_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}D^+)G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n}) \\ \frac{\gamma(Z_{k.n})}{2}\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k) - \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\lambda^a(\partial_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}D^-)G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n}) \end{cases}$$

both valid for $\lambda > 0$. We have similar identities for $\lambda < 0$:

(4.11)

$$\partial_\lambda\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\gamma(Z_{k.n})}{2}\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k) - \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\lambda^a(\partial_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}D^-)G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n}) \\ \frac{\gamma(Z_{k.n})}{2}\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k) - \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)\lambda^a(\partial_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}D^+)G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^0_{\alpha,\lambda}(Z_{k.n}) \end{cases}$$

Note that $(\partial_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}D^\pm)G$ is the restriction of $M^\pm G$ to

$\Delta_1^+(O(n),F(n)) = \{\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda} \mid r\in R, \alpha\in\wedge, \lambda > 0\}$ and also of $M^\mp G$ to

$\Delta_1^-(O(n),F(n)) = \{\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda} \mid r\in R, \alpha\in\wedge, \lambda > 0\}$. Since $M^\pm G \in \widehat{\varphi}(O(n),F(n))$, $M^\mp G$ is integrable on $\Delta(O(n),F(n))$ and equation 4.10, 4.11 show that $\partial_\lambda\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k)$ is integrable on $R^\times = \{\lambda \mid \lambda\neq 0\}$. We have

$\frac{(2\pi)^{a+2}}{c}\widetilde{it}f(x) = \int_{O(n)} e^{ir<X_p^*,X>}\int_R\int_{R^\times}\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k)\partial_\lambda(e^{irPr_V\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)})})dkdrd\lambda.$

$=\int_{O(n)} e^{ir<X_p^*,X>}\int_R(\int_0^\infty \widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k)\partial_\lambda(e^{irPr_V\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)})})d\lambda$

$+\int_{-\infty}^0 \widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k)\partial_\lambda(e^{irPr_V\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)})}))d\lambda dkdr.$

$=\int_{O(n)} e^{ir<X_p^*,X>}\int_R(-\int_0^\infty \partial_\lambda\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k)e^{irPr_V\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)})}d\lambda$

$-\int_{-\infty}^0 \partial_\lambda\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k)e^{irPr_V\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)})})d\lambda.$

$-\lim_{\lambda\to 0^+}\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k) + \lim_{\lambda\to 0^-}\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k))dkdr$

It can be shown that the limits $\lim_{\lambda\to 0^\pm}\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k)$ exists and are equal. Here one need to use the hypothesis that F is continuous across $\Delta_2(O(n),F(n))$ and that G_0 is a Schwartz function. Using the last two equations for $\partial_\lambda\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k)$ we obtain

$\frac{(2\pi)^{a+2}}{c}\widetilde{(\pm\frac{\gamma}{2}\pm it)}f(x) = \int_{O(n)} e^{ir<X_p^*,X>}\int_R(-\int_0^\infty \partial_\lambda\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k)e^{irPr_V\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)})}d\lambda$

$-\int_{-\infty}^0 \partial_\lambda\widetilde{G}(r,a,\lambda,k)e^{irPr_V\Psi_2^{-1}(\overline{q_1(k.x)})}d\lambda)drdk.$

$=\frac{c}{(2\pi)^{a+2}}\int_R\int_{R^\times}\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}(dimP_\alpha)M^\pm G(\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda})\phi^{r,\alpha,\lambda}(x)\,|\lambda|^a\,drd\lambda.$

We conclude that $\widetilde{(\pm\frac{\gamma}{2}\pm it)}f \in\in L^2_{O(n)}(F(n))$ with $\frac{(2\pi)^{a+2}}{c}\widetilde{(\pm\frac{\gamma}{2}\pm it}f)^\wedge = M^\pm G \in \widehat{\varphi}(O(n),F(n))$. This completes the proof of item 3.

References

[1] A. A. Kirillov, Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups, Uspeki Mat. Nauk. 17 (1962), 57-110.

[2] J. Ludwig, Minimal C*-dense ideals and algebraically irreducible representations of the Schwartz-algebra of a nilpotent Lie group, Lecture Notes Math. 1359 (1988), 209-217.

[3] R. Howe, On a connection between nilpotent groups and oscillatory integrals associated to singularities, Pacific J. Math. 73 (1977), 329-363.

[4] S. Helgason, *Goups and Geometric Analysis:Integral Geometry,Invariant Differential Operators,and Spherical Functions*, Academic Press. (1984).

[5] Z. Yan, Special functions associated with multiplicity-free representations, preprint.

[6] R. Howe, Quantum mechanics and partial differential equations. J. Funct. Anal. 38 (1980), 188-255.

[7] Benson. Chal ,Jenkins. Joe , Ratcliff. Gail , *On Gelfand Pairs Associated with Solvable Lie Groups*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 1 September (1990), Vol.321(1), pp.85-116

[8] V. BARGMANN, On a Hilbert space of analytic functions and an associated integral transform, Part I, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 14 (1961), 187-214.

[9] M. TAYLOR, Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis, Math. Surveys, Vol. 22, Amer. Math. Sot., Providence, RI, 1986.

[10] R.Howe and T.Umeda *The Capelli identity, the double commutant theorem and multiplicity-free action*,Math. Ann. 290(1991),565-619

[11] N. Jacobson Lie algebras Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 10. 1962

[12] Fischer, Veronique, *The bounded spherical functions for the free two step nilpotent Lie group*, J. Lie Theory, (2010) 16(2):351-370.

[13] Benson,Chal;Jenkins,Joe;Ratcliff,Gail *The Spherical Transform of a Schwartz Function on the Heisenberg Group*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 20 April 1998, Vol.154(2), pp.379-423

(Xu) Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong University of Science and technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR, China

E-mail address: jxuad@connect.ust.hk